EPA Official Record

Notes ID: CAC749CD195C3DF0852577DD0067182D

From: Karl Gustavson/DC/USEPA/US

To: Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

Copy To: Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil; JohnJ Smith/DC/USEPA/US@EPA; Steve Ells/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Delivered Date: 11/19/2008 10:02 AM EDT

Subject: Re: NBH modeling

Dave.

Thanks for the email. I agree that a regular call would be useful. I think bi-monthly would probably work better until we get into an iterative phase considering management options. I heard the Sedflume effort went very well. They just returned as I flew out here (I'm in Tampa at SETAC). I'll be in Vburg in December and will get a full update. That information takes a good amount of time to process so I don't think I'll see results. The only wrinkle I heard was that the water was exceptionally clear so they had an issue getting dissolved TOC data (Cerco wanted it for WQ modeling) and particle size analyses.

The course grid hydrodynamic model is completed; they are working on the fine grid.

How does a call in early Dec sound? In the mean time, I'll connect our hydro modelers with Schroeder so we can work out getting the CAD cell into the hydro model.

Contaminated Sediment Liaison to US EPA
US Army Engineer Research and Development Center
Phone: 703-603-8753

Fax: 703-603-9112

NBH modeling

	NBH mod	NBH modeling							

Dave Dickerson	to:	Karl Gustavson	11/18/2008 05:03 PM		
Cc: Robert.A.Leitch, JohnJ Smith, Steve Ells					

Hi Karl - hope all is well. A couple of quick questions for you:

- 1. We were wondering when the updated hydrodynamic model for the food chain modeling would be complete, in case we could make use of it as part of the CAD cell modeling. If the hydro piece could be done by the 5/1/09 time frame I believe it could serve both purposes.
- 2. How did the sedplume effort go (assuming its complete)?
- 3. I think it would make sense to schedule a standing progress meeting to keep on schedule. Our last call was on 10/2...so maybe a monthly call might be in order.

Thanks - Dave